

HONEY QUALITY, METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND INTERNATIONAL REGULATORY STANDARDS: REVIEW OF THE WORK OF THE INTERNATIONAL HONEY COMMISSION

Stefan Bogdanov (chairman, Switzerland), Cord Lüllmann (vice-chairman, Germany), Peter Martin (secretary, UK), Werner von der Ohe, Harald Russmann, Günther Vorwohl (Germany); Livia Persano Oddo, Anna-Gloria Sabatini, Gian Luigi Marcazzan, Roberto Piro, (Italy); Christian Flamini, Monique Morlot, Joel Lheretier, Raymond Borneck (France); Panagyotis Marioleas, Angelica Tsigouri (Greece); Jaab Kerkvliet (Netherlands), Alberto Ortiz (Spain), Tzeko Ivanov (Bulgaria), Bruce D'Arcy, Brenda Mossel (Australia) and Patricia Vit (Venezuela)

International honey standards are specified in a European Honey Directive and in the Codex Alimentarius Standard for Honey, both of which are presently under revision. In this paper the present knowledge on the different quality criteria and on the methods used for their determination is reviewed.

INTRODUCTION

Honey quality criteria are specified in a European Directive (1) and in the Codex Alimentarius standard (2), both presently under revision (3,4). As many of the analysis methods and regulations are outdated, an International Honey Commission (IHC) was formed in 1990 to revise the methods and standards for honey. The authors of this review, as members of the IHC, have all contributed with data, which made possible the present review. The commission compiled the methods of analysis currently used in routine honey control and carried out ring trials in collaboration with the honey commission of the Swiss Food Manual (SFM). The methods were first published in the SFM (5) and then in a slightly modified form elsewhere (6). In this paper we review the present knowledge on the different quality criteria and on the methods used for their determination. The review is intended to inform food chemists and authorities on the work done by the IHC. It should also help the regulatory authorities in the decision-making process when revising the present honey norm.

THE COLLABORATIVE WORK OF THE INTERNATIONAL HONEY COMMISSION

With the exception of the methods for the determination of apparent sugars and of specific rotation, the precision parameters concerning the intra- and inter-laboratory variation of all methods have been determined in ring trials (for details see references 5 and 6). Most of the methods have been collaboratively tested by the SFM and the IHC (methods Nr. 1,4,5, 6,7,8,9,10, 11, 14 and 15, in table 1), using the modern robust statistics method (7-9). The rest were tested by DIN (Deutsches Institut für Normung; methods Nr.2,3,13,17 in table 1) or by a UK collaborative study (method Nr.16 in table 1) using the ISO 5725 standard for collaborative studies (10,11). The complete precision parameters of the methods are published elsewhere (5,6). The ISO method has drawbacks, especially in that outlier laboratories are eliminated from the computation of the precision parameters. The robust method does not have these drawbacks, taking into account the results of all laboratories participating in the trial (8). It is gaining increasing acceptance and in the next edition of the guide for conducting collaborative studies (reference 11, currently in revision) both the ISO protocols and the robust method will be included. The interlaboratory variation, determined as the coefficient of variation VK_R of the reproducibility R is the quality parameter most often used to compare the precision of analytical methods (12). VK_R in % is calculated as: $100 \times$

$R/\bar{x} \times 2.8$. Generally VK_R decreases exponentially with increasing concentration of the measured variable. In the methods for analysis of major foodstuff components, in a concentration range between 0.01 g/100 g and 10 g/100 g, the coefficient of variation will mostly lie between 0.1 and 10 % (12). A VK_R value greater than 10% indicates an insufficient precision of a method.

The extreme VK_R values for each method are summarized in table 1. With the exception of methods 5 (acidity by equivalent point titration), 14 (sugars by GC) and 18 (specific rotation), which are part only of the European harmonized methods, the same methods are part of the SFM (5) and in the European Harmonised Methods for Honey Analysis (6).

SCOPE AND PRECISION OF THE METHODS

Moisture Content

The determination of moisture by refractometry does not yield the true water content and the determined values are lower than those obtained by the Karl Fischer method (13). However, it is a very simple and reproducible method, used successfully in routine honey control. Thus, although the refractometric values are not absolutely correct, there is no need for an alternative method. The VK_R values are acceptable and vary from 0.8 to 2% over the entire determination range.

Mineral Content (ash)

The VK_R values varied from 4 to 11 % over the entire determination range. This method is now very often replaced by the faster and easier electrical conductivity measurement (see below).

Electrical conductivity

This measurement depends on the ash and acid content of honey: the higher their content, the higher the resulting conductivity (14). The VK_R values varied from 3 to 4% over the entire determination range and are lower than the corresponding values of the ash determination method.

Acidity

Two methods have been proposed: a fixed and an equivalent point titration method. Titration of the acidity to a fixed endpoint of pH 8.3 has the major drawback that the endpoint of the titration is not well defined because of lactone hydrolysis, which leads to a persistent drift in the titration endpoint. Also, the final point of titration depends on the honey type. Thus, the equivalence point titration is the correct method for determination of honey acidity. Except in France, where the equivalence point titration is used (15), the fixed endpoint titration method is used in most other countries, as the maximum free acidity fixed in food regulations is based on this method. The two methods were tested collaboratively (6), using the same honey samples. There was a very good correlation ($r = 0.999$) between the acidity values, determined with both methods. However, the equivalent point titration yielded values which were on average 35 % lower than the ones measured with the fixed endpoint titration method. For both methods the VK_R values were very high: 11 to 22 % for the endpoint titration and 9 to 47 % for the equivalent point titration. Thus the reproducibility of these methods is unsatisfactory in both cases, especially in honeys with low acidity. One should bear in mind the poor precision of the method when interpreting results close to the limit.

Hydroxymethylfurfural content

Three methods can be used for the determination of hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF): a colorimetric one (with p-toluidine), a spectrophotometric one (with sodium bisulfite) and an HPLC method. Because p-toluidine may be carcinogenic, the Winkler method should not be used if one of the other methods is available. These methods were tested collaboratively with the same three honey

samples to cover the determination range between 5 and 40 mg/kg (5,6). At very low levels, of no interest for assessing honey quality, there were small differences between the methods. In the higher range all three methods yielded practically the same values. The VK_R values of the White and the HPLC method were slightly lower than the one of the Winkler method. With the exception of the measurements at the lowest concentration range with VK_R values above 10 %, the interlaboratory precision of all methods is acceptable.

Diastase activity

Diastase (amylase) catalyzes the transformation of starch to maltose. There is practically no starch in honey or its precursors, but the enzyme is added by bees to honey and plays a role in bee physiology. Two different methods can be used to determine honey diastase. The traditional Schade method uses starch as a substrate and determines the diastase activity expressed in Schade units. In the Phadebas method the substrate is an artificial, blue-dyed cross-linked type of starch. There is a very good correlation ($r = 0.987$) between the two measurements. Linear regression of y (diastase number, DN) against x (ΔA_{620}) yielded the following relation:

$$DN = 28.20 \times \Delta A_{620} + 2.64 \quad (1)$$

where 28.2 and 2.64 are respectively the slope and the intercept of the best straight line obtained by linear regression of ΔA_{620} (x axis) on DN (y axis). For each measurement of ΔA_{620} with the Phadebas method the DN can be calculated using the above formula. However, when measurements were conducted in honeys with lower diastatic activity, it was found that actually, the relation between the measurements with Phadebas and with starch was composed of two linear regions. The first linear region is for honeys having a DN between 0 and 6 and there is a second region for honeys having a DN greater than 6. For the latter group the above formula (1) is valid, while in honeys with DN numbers lower than 6 the diastatic activity can be calculated from the following equation:

$$DN = 35.16 \times \Delta A_{620} - 0.46 \quad (2)$$

The VK_R values for both methods are above 10 %. The precision of the Phadebas method, as expressed by the VK_R value, was almost twice as good as the Schade method. A possible explanation might be that the Phadebas method uses a defined substrate, whereas commercially available starch varies considerably in its quality.

Invertase activity

Invertase (sucrase, glucosidase, transglucosidase) catalyses mainly the hydrolysis of sucrose to glucose and fructose, but also other sugar transformations. It is common usage to express the invertase activity as an invertase number (IN). The IN indicates the amount of sucrose per g hydrolysed in 1 hour by the enzymes contained in 100 g of honey under test conditions. In this method p-Nitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (pNPG) is used as an enzyme substrate. If the invertase activity is determined simultaneously by this method and by the original polarimetric method (16) the following relation between IN and ΔA_{400} results:

$$IN = 21.64 \times \Delta A_{400}$$

where 21.64 is the slope of the best straight line obtained by linear regression of ΔA_{400} (x axis) on IN (y axis).

The VK_R values for this method are considerably better than that of the diastase determination methods. This can be explained by the fact that in the invertase assay a chemically defined substrate is used.

Sugar content

The apparent reducing sugars as well as the apparent sucrose content are measured by the Fehling method. The "apparent reducing sugars" correspond roughly to the sum of the main honey sugars fructose and glucose and some minor reducing disaccharides, mainly maltose. The "apparent sucrose" is calculated as the difference in apparent reducing sugars before and after sucrose hydrolysis. These methods are very time consuming and although they have been used for almost 100 years, their precision has not been tested collaboratively. They do not satisfactorily characterise honey quality and origin (see next section) and for this reason have been replaced by specific chromatographic methods.

Specific chromatographic methods have been used for the determination of honey sugars for the last 30 years. The advantage of these methods is that they yield data of all honey sugars, which is important for the determination of different aspects of honey quality (see next section). Modern gas chromatography uses capillary columns for separation of silylated sugar derivatives. This method gives a very good separation of the many honey sugars, but is very time consuming and thus unsuitable for routine analysis. HPLC with silica-based amino columns and refractometric detection or with ion-exchange columns and pulsed amperometric detection is simpler and more suitable for routine analysis. Although all the main honey sugars can be determined with these methods, in routine control mostly fructose, glucose and sucrose are measured.

The VK_R values of all three methods are below 10%, with the exception of measurements of low levels of sucrose. The HPLC method showed the least interlaboratory variation.

Fructose, glucose and sucrose can be specifically determined also enzymatically (17) but this method has not been tested collaboratively and it is not clear whether sucrose can be determined precisely in a large excess of glucose.

Water insoluble solids content

Honey insoluble matter includes pollen, honey-comb debris, bee and filth particles and is thus a criterion of honey cleanness. It is measured by filtration of a honey solution on a glass crucible. The interlaboratory variation was very high, lying between 26 and 85 %. This should be borne in mind when interpreting results.

Proline content

The main honey amino acid proline is determined by a ninhydrin-based colorimetric method. This method, often used routinely as a criterion of honey ripeness and sugar adulteration, has a satisfactory interlaboratory variation.

Specific rotation

The specific optical rotation of honey solutions is measured with a polarimeter. This measurement has been used most prominently in Italy and Greece and more experience in other countries is necessary before it can be accepted internationally.

REVISED DRAFT FOR A CODEX ALIMENTARIUS HONEY STANDARD

The EU Directive and the Codex Alimentarius Standard (1,2) contain almost identical honey standards, which are presently being revised (3,4). The honey laboratories of the authors of this work used these quality factors for the quality determination of the honeys of their respective country. Also, these quality factors have been routinely measured in many thousands of

commercial honey samples from all over the world by the Institute for Honey Analysis (IHA) in Bremen, Germany (18) and by Wiertz and Co.; Handelschemiker, Hamburg.

Moisture content

Honey having a high water content is more likely to ferment. A maximum value of 21 g/100g is suggested in the draft for a new standard. The exception for clover honey is not justified by measurements during recent years. Accordingly, the maximum water content for clover honey should also be 21 g/100 g. In practice, values as high as 21 g/100 g are very seldom attained. In routine honey control carried out by the IHA during the years 1989-97 on ca. 30,000 honey samples 91-95 % of all honeys had a water content of less than 20 g/100g (18). Also in Switzerland a standard of 20 g/100 g was successfully used in the past 20 years, until the last revision of the Swiss Food Ordinance, where the European Union maximum value of 21 g/100 g had to be accepted. Many national beekeeping organisations (e.g. Germany, Belgium, Austria, Italy, Switzerland) have moisture content maximum values of 18-18.5 g/100 g.

Mineral content (ash)

The ash content is a quality criterion for honey botanical origin, the blossom honeys having a lower ash content than honeydew honeys (14). At present, this measurement is generally replaced by the measurement of electrical conductivity. The ash content could be kept as a quality factor during a transition period, until conductivity is accepted as a world-wide standard.

Acidity

The old standard fixed a maximum of 40 milliequivalents/kg, which has been increased to 50 milliequivalents/kg in the Codex draft, as there are some honeys, which have a higher natural acidity (19)

Hydroxymethylfurfural content

This major honey quality factor is an indicator of honey freshness and overheating. In fresh honeys there is practically no hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), but it increases upon storage, depending on the pH of honey and on the storage temperature. Some European bee federations (Germany, Belgium, Italy, Austria) market a major part of their honey as a "quality honey", having a maximum of 15 mg/kg. In international trade, a maximum value of 40 mg/kg has proven satisfactory. In long term routine honey control at the IHA during the last 10 years, more than 90 % of the raw honey samples (n = 30,000) and more than 85 % of the retail honey samples (n = 2000) had less than 30 mg HMF/kg (18). The Codex proposal is a maximum of 60 mg/kg. The proposal for a higher maximum value is based on the experience that HMF increases on honey storage in warm climate countries. The latest EU standard proposal demands a maximum of 40 mg/kg (3). A possible solution of this contradiction is the introduction of a regional standard for this quality factor.

Diastase Activity

Honey diastase activity is a quality factor, influenced by honey storage and heating and thus an indicator of honey freshness and overheating. Although there is a large natural variation of diastase (20,21), the present standard of a minimum DN value of 8 has proven to be useful. In long-term routine honey control at the IHA more than 92 % of the raw honey samples (n = ca. 20,000) and more than 88 % of the retail honey samples (n = ca. 1000) had a DN greater than 8 (18). When interpreting diastase results one should take into consideration that certain unifloral honeys have a naturally low diastatic activity (20).

Apparent sugar content

In most blossom honeys apparent reducing sugars” represent the great majority of honey sugars, but in honeydew honeys, the situation is often very different. Indeed, many honeydew honeys have high amounts of non-reducing oligosaccharides such as melezitose, maltotriose and raffinose. Because of these findings, the standard for apparent sugars has been modified compared with the previous one: a minimum of 45 g/100 g has been proposed, compared to the old standard with a minimum of 60 g/100 g.

The measurement of reducing sugars detects only the difference between blossom and honeydew honeys, but this difference can be determined much easier by other methods, e.g. by electrical conductivity determination. There are many arguments for replacing the measurement of the reducing sugars with that of specific sugars (see next section).

Water insoluble solids content

The measurement of insoluble matter is an important means to detect honey impurities that are higher than the permitted maxima. It was set in the times, when a significant portion of world honey was harvested by pressing the combs. However, nowadays almost all commercial honey is harvested by centrifugation. It seems to us that the permitted maximum of 0.1 g/100 g is very high. Mostly lower values, in the range of 0.005 to 0.05 g/ 100 g are found. Wax, which is not determined by the Codex method, is a major source of water-insoluble contamination. For this purpose other filtration technique can be used, e.g. with paper filter, but such a method has not been officially proposed yet.

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA FOR THE INTERNATIONAL HONEY STANDARD

The conductivity and sugar data, gathered from the analysis in the laboratories of the authors of this review is compiled in tables 3 and 4. About 50 % of the analytical data (mostly mixed blossom honeys and honeydew honeys) come from the IHA, Bremen, where honeys from Europe, Asia (mostly China), South and North America and Australia are routinely examined. The data for the unifloral honeys come from the laboratories of the other authors of this work, where honeys of different countries were analysed (18-30).

Electrical conductivity

Conductivity is a good criterion of the botanical origin of honey and today it is determined in routine honey control instead of the ash content. This measurement depends on the ash and acid content of honey; the higher their content , the higher the resulting conductivity (14). There is a linear relationship between the ash content and the electrical conductivity (29):

$$C = 0.14 + 1.74 A$$

where C is the electrical conductivity in milli Siemens cm^{-1} and A the ash content in g/100 g.

The conductivity data of different unifloral, blossom and honeydew honeys are summarised in table 3. Based on this data we propose that blossom honeys, mixtures of blossom and honeydew honeys should have less than 0.8 mS/cm and honeydew and chestnut honeys should have more than 0.8 mS/cm (see table 5). Exceptions are Arbutus, Banksia, Erica, Leptospermum, Melaleuca, Eucalyptus and Tilia honeys as well as their blends, having an extremely high variation in their conductivity (table 3).

Specific standards for honeys with different botanical and geographical origin could be elucidated when a further characterisation of honey is required. The conductivity measurement is easy and fast and needs only inexpensive instrumentation. It is very widely used for discrimination between honeydew and blossom honeys and also for the characterisation of unifloral honeys. Thus an introduction of an international conductivity standard is recommended as urgent.

Specific sugar content

In table 4 the data of the sum of fructose and glucose and the sucrose content of about 3,500 unifloral and mixed honeys is compiled. This data is compiled mostly from measurements in the laboratories of the authors with two exceptions, where the sucrose data was compiled from reference 31. Based on this data a general standard for a minimum content of the sum of fructose and glucose of 60 g/100 g for all blossom honeys and 45 g/100 g for all honeydew honeys can be proposed (table 5). This standard could be fulfilled in more than 99 % of the analysed honeys. For sucrose the situation is more complex. Here the general standard of 5 g/100 g could be fulfilled in more than 99 % of the analysed honeys, with the exception of some unifloral honeys like *Banskia*, *Citrus*, *Hedysarum*, *Medicago* and *Robinia* honeys with up to 10 g/100 g and *Lavandula* honeys with up to 15 g/100 g sucrose. The sum of the fructose and glucose content is very close to the sum of all reducing sugars, as fructose and glucose represent mostly more than 90 % of all reducing sugars. Indeed, the proposed minimum standard for the sum of glucose and fructose of 45 and 60 g/100 g for honeydew and blossom honeys is almost identical to the proposed standards for apparent reducing sugars of 45 and 65 g/100 g respectively. On the other hand, the proposed standard for true sucrose is very similar to the one for apparent sucrose (table 2). Exceptions are differences for honeydew honeys, where the "apparent sucrose standard" is 15 g/100 g, while the specific sucrose standards is only 5 g/100 g and for some Australian and New Zealand honeys, which figure in the standard for reducing sugars (table 2), but not in the proposed standard for specific sugars (table 5) as no specific sugar data is available for these honeys.

The introduction of a standard for specific sugar contents will have other positive consequences for routine honey control. Presently the apparent sugar content of commercial honey samples is checked for standard compatibility, but it does not yield much information on honey quality. On the other hand, the sugars of honey samples are analysed to get information on different aspects of honey quality. Thus, the fructose/glucose ratio and the sucrose concentrations are good criteria for differentiating between different unifloral honeys (20, 22, 25,26). Also, the content of melezitose (32, 33), maltotriose (34) and 2 other unidentified oligosaccharides (35) is a good indicator of the honeydew content of honey. The specific sugar spectrum yields also information on honey authenticity and sugar adulteration (see reference 36 and further references therein).

ADDITIONAL QUALITY FACTORS OUTSIDE THE STANDARDS

There are some useful quality criteria, used for the determination of honey quality outside the international honey regulations.

Invertase activity

Invertase activity is particularly sensitive to heat and storage damage and is used as a freshness indicator. It was proposed that fresh and unheated honeys should have an invertase number (IN) more than 10; for honeys with low enzymatic activity a IN of more than 4 is recommended (37). Although, like honey diastase, the activity of invertase has a great natural variation (38) its use has been proven in honey quality control. A freshness invertase standard is also used in the honey standards of the beekeepers associations of Germany and Belgium.

Proline content

The honey proline content is a criterion of honey ripeness and in some cases, also of sugar adulteration (39). A minimum value for genuine honey of 180 mg/kg is accepted in honey control laboratories. However, it should be taken into account that there is considerable proline variation, depending on the honey type (40).

Specific rotation

The overall value for the optical rotation is a resultant of the values of the different honey sugars. The measurement of specific rotation is currently used in Greece; Italy and UK to distinguish

between blossom and honeydew honeys. In Italy it was found that blossom honeys (20, 41) have negative values of optical rotation, while honeydew honeys have a positive one (20). Whether this method is capable of differentiating these honeys in other geographic regions remains to be examined in future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

The present review summarises the latest information on the main methods and quality factors used in the international honey regulations for the determination of honey quality. We enumerate many arguments to support the introduction of new standards for specific sugars and electrical conductivity. Indeed, during the last 30 years there are very few publications, where reducing sugars and ash content are used as quality factors. On the other hand, specific sugars and electrical conductivity are mostly used instead. Thus the latter quality criteria should be introduced as international honey standards.

Besides the criteria, enumerated in this review, the Codex Alimentarius prescribes also hygienic, authenticity and contamination tests. Apart from these, the honey specialists use also a number of other quality criteria to determine the botanical and geographical origin of honey, especially the characterisation of unifloral honeys. In its further work the IHC is going to compile and harmonise the methods and criteria, used for this purpose. Indeed, up to now chemical quality criteria for unifloral honeys are valid only in separate countries, but they are not officially recognised in the international honey trade.

After:

Bogdanov S., Lüllmann C., Martin P., von der Ohe W., Russmann H., Vorwohl G., Persano Oddo L., Sabatini A. G., Marcazzan G. L., Piro R., Flamini C., Morlot M., Lheretier J., Borneck R., Marioleas P., Tsigouri A., Kerkvliet J., Ortiz A., Ivanov T., D'Arcy B., Mossel B., Vit P. (1999), Honey quality, methods of analysis and international regulatory standards: review of the work of the International honey commission

Mitt. Lebensm. Hyg., 90, 108-125

Corresponding author:

Dr. Stefan Bogdanov, Bee Department, Federal Dairy Research Institute, 3003 Bern, Switzerland
e-mail: stefan.bogdanov@fam.admin.ch

REFERENCES

1. *Council Directive* of 22 July 1974 on the harmonization of the laws of the Member States relating to honey, 74/409/EEC, Official Journal of the European Communities, No L 221/14 1974.
2. *Codex Alimentarius Standard for Honey*, Ref. Nr. CL 1993/14-SH FAO and WHO, Rome 1993.
3. *Proposal for a directive of the European council relating to honey*, EU document 96/0114, 1996.
4. *Codex Alimentarius draft revised for honey at step 6 of the Codex Procedure*. CX 5/10.2, CL 1998/12-S 1998.
5. *Swiss Food Manual*, (Schweizerisches Lebensmittelbuch) Chapter 23 A: Honey. Eidg. Drucksachen und Materialzentrale, Bern 1995.

6. *Bogdanov S., Martin P. and Lüllmann C.*: Harmonised methods of the European honey commission. *Apidologie* (extra issue) 1-59 (1997).
7. *Analytical Methods Committee. Robust statistics- How to reject outliers*, Part 1 and 2. *Analyst* **114**, 1693-1697 and 1699-1702 (1989).
8. *Lischer, P.*: Robust Statistics, Data analysis and computer intensive methods, in *Lecture Notes in Statistics* **109**, 251-264, Springer 1996.
9. *Statistik und Ringversuche*, Swiss Food Manual (Schweizerisches Lebensmittelbuch), Kapitel 60. Eidg. Drucksachen und Materialzentrale, Bern (1989).
10. *Horwitz, W.*: A protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of collaborative studies, *Pure and Appl.Chem.* **60**, 855-864 (1988).
11. *International Standard 5725: Accuracy of measurements methods and results*. Parts 1 to 6 ISO 5725 International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland currently in revision, (1994).
12. *Horwitz, W., Kamps, L. and Boyer, K.*: Quality assurance of foods for trace constituents, *JAOAC* **63**, 1344-1354 (1980).
13. *Zürcher, K. and Hadorn, H.*: Vergleichende Wasserbestimmungen in Honig nach Karl Fischer, aus Dichte, refraktometrisch und gravimetrisch. *Mitt. Gebiete Lebensm. Hyg.* **71**, 396-403 (1980)
14. *Vorwohl, G.*: Die Beziehung zwischen der elektrischen Leitfähigkeit der Honige und ihrer trachtmässigen Herkunft. In: *Ann. de Abeille* **7**, 301-309 (1964).
15. Arrêté du 15/02/77 relatif aux méthodes officielles d'analyse du miel (*Journal Officiel de la République Française - N.C. du 22/04/77*).
16. *Hadorn, H. and Zürcher, K.*: Eine verbesserte polarimetrische Methode zur Saccharasezahlbestimmung im Honig. *Dtsch. Lebensm. Rundsch.* **62**, 195-201 (1966).
17. *Bestimmung von Saccharose, Glukose und Fruktose in Honig*, in *Methoden der enzymatischen Analytik*, Boehringer Mannheim, S. 139, Boehringer, Mannheim 1995.
18. *Lüllmann, C.*: Annual Reports of the Institute for Honey Analysis (1989-1997).
19. *Horn, H. und Lüllmann, C.*: Das grosse Honigbuch, Ehrenwirth, München 1992.
20. *Oddo, L. P., Piazza, M. G., Sabatini, A. G. and Accorti, M.*: Characterization of unifloral honeys. *Apidologie* **26**, 453-465 (1995).
21. *Thrasylvoulou A. and Manikis, J.*: Some physicochemical and microscopic characteristics of Greek unifloral honey. *Apidologie* **26**, 441-452 (1995).
22. *Talpay, B.*: Spezifikationen für Trachthonige. *Dtsch. Lebensm. Rundsch.* **81**, 148-152 (1985).
23. *Ivanov, T.*: Composition and properties of Bulgarian honey. Dissertation, (1978).
24. *Ortiz Valbuena, A., Fernandez Maeso, C. and Subrá Muñoz de la Torre, E.*: Principales características de la miel de la Alcarria. *Consejería de agricultura y medio ambiente junta de comunidades de Castilla-la Mancha*, Nr.1, (1996).
25. *Pourtallier, J. et Taliercio, Y.*: Les caracteres physiques et chimiques des miels en fonction de leurs origines florales. *Bull. Apic. Doc.sci.techn. Inf.* **13**, 319-322 (1970).
26. *Bogdanov, S.*: Charakterisierung von Schweizer Sortenhonigen. *Agrarforschung* **4**, 427-430 (1997).
27. *Von der Ohe, W. und von der Ohe, K.*: Charakterisierung einheimischer Heidehonige. *Allg. Dtsch. Imkerztg.*, **28**, 5-29 (1994).
28. *Von der Ohe, W. and von der Ohe, K.*: Charakterisierung einheimischer Rapshonige. *Dtsch. Bienen Journal* **4**, 438-443 (1996).

29. *Piazza, M.G., Accorti, M. e Persano Oddo, L.:* Electrical conductivity, ash, colour and specific rotatory power in Italian unifloral honeys. *Apicoltura* **7**, 51-63 (1991).
30. *Mosser, B.:* Characterisation of Australian honeys, PhD, Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Queensland- Gatton, Queensland Australia 4345 (1998).
31. Crane, E. Walker, P. and Day, R. Directory of important world honey sources. Nectar plants. International Bee Research Association, London. 1984
32. *Accorti, M., Persano Oddo, L., Piazza, M.G. e Sabatini, A.G.:* Schede di caratterizzazione delle principali qualità di miele Italiano. *Apicoltura* **2**, 1-35 (1986)
33. *Bogdanov, S., Rieder, K. und Rüegg, M.:* Neue Qualitätskriterien bei Honiguntersuchungen. *Apidologie* **18**, 267-278 (1987).
34. *Persano Oddo, L., Piazza, M.G. and Sabatini, A.G.:* Scheme for characterization of Tilia honey from honeydew produced by *Metcalfa pruinosa*. *Apicoltura* **7**, 151-159 (1991).
35. *Von der Ohe, W. and von der Ohe, K.:* Characterisation of honeydew honey with specific saccharides. *Apidologie* **27**, 270-272 (1996).
36. *Prodoliet, J. and Hischenhuber, C.:* Application of carbohydrate chromatography to detect food adulterations. In Euro Food Chem IX, Symposium in Authenticity and Adulteration of Food, the Analytical Approach, Interlaken, pp.175-192 (1997).
37. *Duisberg, H. und Hadorn, H.:* Welche Anforderungen sind an Handelshonige zu stellen? *Mitt. Gebiete Lebensm. Hyg.* **57**, 386-407 (1966).
38. *Persano Oddo, L., Piazza, M. and Pulcini, P.:* The invertase activity of honey, *Apidologie* **30**, in print, 1999
39. *Von der Ohe, W., Dustmann, J. H., und von der Ohe, K.:* Prolin als Kriterium der Reife des Honigs. *Dtsch. Lebensm. Rundsch.* **87**, 383-386 (1991).
40. *Bosi, G. and Battaglini, M.:* Gas chromatographic analysis of free and protein amino acids in some unifloral honeys. *J. Apicult. Res.* **17**, 152-166 (1978).
41. Battaglini, M. e Bosi, G.: Caratterizzazione chimico-fisica dei mieli monoflora sulla base dello spettro glucidico e del potere rotatorio specifico. - *Scienza e tecnologia degli Alimenti* **3**, 217-221 (1973).

Table 1. Precision of the harmonised honey analysis methods: VK_R % values

Parameter, method, unity	VALUE ¹	VK _R % ²
	MIN-MAX	MIN-MAX
1. Moisture content, g/100 g	16.4-20.0	1.0 - 2.5
2. Mineral content (ash), g/100g	0.06-0.49	4.3 - 13.2
3. Electrical conductivity, mS/cm ⁻¹	0.22-1.52	3.4 - 4.4
4. Acidity by endpoint titration to pH 8.3, meq/kg	11.2-46.2	10.3-22.0
5. Acidity by equivalence point titration, meq/kg	7.0-32.5	8.7-46.8
6. Hydroxymethylfurfural content by HPLC, mg/kg	5.2-42.3	6.1-10.9
7. Hydroxymethylfurfural content after White, mg/kg	3.8-42.1	3.7-22.0
8. Hydroxymethylfurfural content after Winkler, mg/kg	7.5-42.9	7.9-15.2
9. Diastase activity after Schade in diastase numbers	8.7-37.7	20.5-26.1
10. Diastase activity with Phadebas in diastase numbers	8.6-37.6	11.0-17.9
11. Invertase activity in invertase numbers	6.5-17.7	2.7-9.6
12. Apparent reducing sugar content, g/100 g apparent sucrose content, g/100g	- -	Nd nd
13. Sugar Content by HPLC, g/100 g fructose glucose sucrose	31.2-42.4 23.0-32.0 0-2.8	1.5-1.9 1.6-3.2 11.4
14. Sugar Content by GC, g/100 g fructose glucose sucrose	31.4-39.4 23.8-31.4 0-7.7	3.9-8.6 2.6-7.5 7.2
15. Sugar Content by HPLC, pulsed amperometric detection, g/100 g fructose glucose sucrose	36.3-38.9 26.9-28.2 1.2-2.4	6.0-7.4 7.3-7.8 6.8-12.5
16. Water Insoluble Content, g/100 g	0.01-0.03	26.5-84.4
17. Proline Content, mg/kg	171-762	2.3-3.4
18. Specific rotation	-	nd

1 – range of the values, determined in the collaborative trials

2 - VK_R %, determined for the values in column two, calculated from the precision data of the collaborative trials (for more details see references 5 and 6).

Min = minimum value, Max = maximum value

nd = not determined

Table 2. Honey Quality Standard according to the draft CL 1998/12-S of the Codex Alimentarius

Quality Criteria	Value
Moisture Content general heather, clover	≤ 21 g/100g ≤ 23 g/100g
Apparent Reducing Sugars Content Honeys not listed below honeydew honey or blends of honeydew honey and blossom honey Xanthorrhoea pr.	≥ 65 g /100 g ≥ 45 g /100 g ≥ 53 g /100 g
Apparent Sucrose content Honeys not listed below Robinia , Lavandula, Hedysarum, Trifolium, Citrus, Medicago, Eucalyptus cam., Eucryphia luc. Banksia menz. <i>Calothamnus san., Eucalyptus scab., Banksia gr., Xanthorrhoea pr.</i> Honeydew honey and and blends of blossom with honeydew honey	≤ 5 g/100 g ≤ 10 g/100 g ≤ 15 g/100 g
Water-Insoluble Solids Content general pressed honey	≤ 0.1 g/100 g ≤ 0.5 g/100 g
Mineral Content (ash) General honeydew or blends of honeydew and blossom honey or chestnut honey	≤ 0.6 g/100 g ≤ 1.2 g/100 g
Acidity	≤ 50 meq/kg
Diastase Activity after processing and blending (diastase number in Schade scale) general honeys with natural low enzyme content	≥ 8 ≥ 3
Hydroxymethylfurfural Content after processing and/or blending	≤ 60 mg/kg

Table 3. Electrical conductivity of honey

Honey-Type Species (common name)	Origin	n	mS/cm
<i>Arbutus</i> (arbutus)	E	46	0.63-0.90
<i>Banksia</i> (heath)	Au	4	0.74-1.02
<i>Brassica</i> (rape)	E	442	0.09-0.27
<i>Castanea</i> (chestnut)	E	297	0.80-2.07
<i>Centaurea</i> (centaurea)	E	27	0.21-0.75
<i>Citrus</i> (orange,lemon)	E	150	0.10-0.35
<i>Erica</i> (heather)	E, A	291	0.42-1.40
<i>Eucalyptus</i> (eucalyptus)	E, Am, Au	181	0.19-1.33
<i>Eucryphia</i> (leatherwood)	Au	6	0.46-0.89
<i>Gossypium</i> (cotton)	E	20	0.45-0.76
<i>Hedysarum</i> (honeysuckle)	E	57	0.09-0.30
<i>Helianthus</i> (sunflower)	E	174	0.20-0.60
<i>Leptospermum</i> (manuka, jelly bush)	A	25	0.31-1.07
<i>Lavandula</i> (lavender)	E	304	0.12-0.60
<i>Maleuca</i> (tea tree)	Au	5	0.40-1.12
<i>Medicago</i> (lucerne)	E	16	0.11-0.23
<i>Phacelia</i> (phacelia)	E	46	0.09-0.44
<i>Robinia</i> (false acacia)	E, A	685	0.09-0.30
<i>Rhododendron</i> (alpine rose)	E	112	0.15-0.45
<i>Rosmarinus</i> (rosemary)	E	81	0.10-0.35
<i>Taraxacum</i> (dandelion)	E	67	0.29-0.65
<i>Thymus</i> (thyme)	E	154	0.24-0.72
<i>Tilia</i> (lime)	E, A	199	0.33-1.15
<i>Trifolium</i> (clover)	E	65	0.13-0.25
Blossom, blend	E, A, Am, Au	2052	0.10-0.70
Honeydew	E, A, Am, Au	1623	0.80-2.11

The data is compiled from measurements in the laboratories of the authors.

n = number of samples

Min (minimum) and Max (maximum) values for more than 99 % of the measured samples.

E-Europe, A-Asia, Am-America, Au-Australia

Table 4. Sugar content of honey

Honey-Type Species (common name)	Origin	N	G+F g/ 100 g	S g/ 100 g
<i>Arbutus</i> (arbutus)	E	23	63.1-74.3	0.1-3.3
<i>Brassica</i> (rape)	E	310	68.2-83.9	0.0-1.0
<i>Banksia</i> (heath)	A	51	nd	1.6-10.7*
<i>Castanea</i> (chestnut)	E	158	62.0-81.4	0.0-1.3
<i>Centaurea</i> (ventaurea)	E	27	68.8-79.5	0.0-4.5
<i>Citrus</i> (orange,lemon)	E, A	104	63.7-77.9	0.0-8.4*
<i>Erica</i> (heather)	E	199	64.0-82.6	0.0-1.5
<i>Eucalyptus</i> (eucalyptus)	E	26	63.3-77.0	0.1-2.8
<i>Hedysarum</i> (honeysuckle)	E	29	67.0-74.1	0.0-8.3
<i>Helianthus</i> (sunflower)	E	117	68.7-84.8	0.0-1.8
<i>Lavandula</i> (lavender)	E	159	60.1-73.2	0.0-15.0
<i>Medicago</i> (lucerne)	E	16	63.8-75.6	0.0-7.0
<i>Phacelia</i> (phacelia)	E	39	64.9-80.4	0.0-4.0
<i>Robinia</i> (false acacia)	E, A	1474	60.6-83.8	0.0-10.0
<i>Rhododendron</i> (alpine rose)	E	91	60.8-79.4	0.9-4.3
<i>Rosmarinus</i> (rosemary)	E	43	64.8-84.1	0.0-4.6
<i>Taraxacum</i> (dandelion)	E	40	67.4-84.2	0.0-5.0
<i>Thymus</i> (thyme)	E	40	64.0-80.3	0.0-0.6
<i>Tilia</i> (lime)	E	150	54.7-79.3	0.0-4.5
<i>Trifolium</i> (clover)	E	23	66.6-78.5	0.0-3.1
Blossom, blend	E, A, Am, Au	880	60.0-83.0	0.0-4.8
Honeydew	E, A, Am, Au	442	45.1-71.8	0.0-4.8

The data is compiled from measurements of specific sugar content in the laboratories of the authors, excepted the sucrose data marked by *, which was compiled also by using reference 31.

n = number of samples, nd - not determined; G-glucose, F-fructose, S-saccharose

Min (minimum) and Max (maximum) values for more than 99 % of the measured samples.

E-Europe, A-Asia, Am-America, Au-Australia. F-fructose, G-glucose, S-sucrose

Table 5. Sugar content and electrical conductivity: proposal for a new international standard

Suggested New Quality Criteria	Proposed Value
<p>Sugar Content</p> <p><i>Sum of fructose and glucose</i></p> <p>blossom honeys</p> <p>Honeydew honey or blends of honeydew honey and blossom honey</p> <p><i>Sucrose</i></p> <p>Honeys not listed below</p> <p>Banksia, Citrus, Hedysarum, Medicago, Robinia</p> <p><i>Lavandula</i></p>	<p>≥ 60 g / 100 g</p> <p>≥ 45 g / 100 g</p> <p>≤ 5 g/ 100 g</p> <p>≤ 10 g/ 100 g</p> <p>≤ 15 g/ 100 g</p>
<p>Electrical Conductivity</p> <p>Blossom honeys excepted the honeys listed below and blends with them; blends of honeydew and blossom honey</p> <p><i>Honeydew and chestnut honey, excepted the honeys listed below and blends with those</i></p> <p>Exceptions: <i>Arbutus, Banksia, Erica, Eucalyptus, Eucryphia, Leptospermum, Melaleuca, Tilia.</i></p>	<p>≤ 0.8 mS/cm</p> <p>≥ 0.8 mS/cm</p>